

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR 07/29/2003 Kevin Halloran 8928 10/630,415 **EXAMINER** 7590 01/19/2006 BRIAN K. ROSS GIBSON, ROY DEAN Ste. B PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 1124 EAST 14th St. San Leandro, CA 94577 3739

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		ϵ	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,415	HALLORAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Roy D. Gibson	3739	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	·· -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo ate, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	November 2005.		
, ,	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma		S
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and. 	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ ac			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			. 15
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l			(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	🗖		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<i>,</i> —	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	 □	of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/630,415

Art Unit: 3739

Entry of Amendment

Applicant's amendment filed November 10, 2005, is acknowledged.

Claims 1-3 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harris (3,939,842). Harris discloses a device for treatment of hemorrhoids and rectal tissue comprising a cylindrical shaped disposable bladder made from polyethylene that sealingly encloses a coolant liquid to provide prolong cooling of hemorrhoidal tissue. The stem of the device is about 3 inches in length, the narrower portion of the stem is about ½ inches in diameter and the stop or reservoir is about ¾ inches in diameter (col. 2, line 21-col. 3, line 21). However, the examiner maintains that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to design the bladder to approximately the claimed dimensions because the application is related (internal application is intended use only) or that such dimensions could be determined by simple experimentation for a particular patient size (for example a child or an adult). Furthermore, in general, dimensions, shape or size are not patentable features by themselves particularly

Art Unit: 3739

if their criticality is not established by the disclosure (see MPEP 2144.04, IV, A and B).

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wierson (5,707,645). Wierson discloses a rectangular cold bladder unit closed along its perimeter for containing a cold-temperature storage means such as water, glycerol or a combination thereof and made of a flexible and plastic material (polyethylene and col. 2, lines 1--30, col. 2, line 59-col. 3, line 57 and col. 4, lines 5-37).

Note the maximum size recited is approximately 2 inches in length, 1.5-2 inches in width and 0.75-1.00 inch in thickness at the midline. However, the examiner maintains again that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to design the bladder to approximately the claimed dimensions because the application is similar (external use) or that such dimensions could be determined by simple experimentation.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 3739

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roy D. Gibson whose telephone number is 571-272-4767. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Th, 7:30 am-4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

	Vi of 10 se
	Roy D. Gibson
	Primary Examiner
·	Art Unit 3739

January 10, 2006